|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Format**  | **Questions**  | **Answers** | **Logic** |
|  |  |  |  |
| Title | **A focus on Interreg for a Greener Europe** |
| Intro text | Please take a few minutes to answer the questionnaire provided here. This survey invites programmes to reflect on the possible steps for Interreg to efficiently support the efforts against climate change and be regarded as a key instrument within the EU to achieve this goal in the future programming period. **We are considering here all topics currently covered as specific objectives under PO2 (climate change adaptation, energy, water, circular economy, nature protection, mobility…)**Your responses will be used to explore further the different options that would better support Interreg programmes in delivering climate resilience solutions for their territory and citizens.A webinar will take place on Thursday 3rd October to present the key findings of this survey, which will be used to consolidate the consultation report on Post 2027 to the European Commission. They will also be used to prepare for the Greener Europe session during the Post 2027 Harvesting Event (25-26 November 2024, Brussels).**Your input is essential to us! We kindly request each Interreg programme to submit one response per programme to the questionnaire. Thank you!** |
|  | 1. **Respondents**
 |
| Text field \* | 1. Which programme do you represent?
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. Your name and surname
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. Your email address
 |  |  |
|  | 1. **Interreg making Europe greener – wider strategic perspective on the theme**
 |
| Text field \* | 1. What has worked well for your programme in addressing climate related challenges for your area? Please provide a brief description of key achievements (from successful projects over to fostering, strategic considerations, the view on impact at programme level etc.).
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. What needs to be improved to better respond to climate challenges of your programme area?
 |  |  |
| Single choice \* | 1. Are you satisfied with the current level of quality/relevance/impact of green projects?
 | * + - * 1. Yes
				2. No
 |  |
| Text field | 3.a How could it be improved? |  |  |
| Single choice \* | 1. Are you satisfied with the partnerships delivering green Interreg projects? Are you attracting the right organisations to deliver solutions for your programme area?
 | * + - * 1. Yes
				2. No
 |  |
| Text field | 4.a How could it be improved? |  |  |
|  | 1. **Interreg delivering green projects through PO2**
 |
| Single choice \* | 1. Should “green” be linked to a minimum allocation of funds in programmes (currently as per Article 15.1, at least 60% to PO2 for A, B, D, and combined with PO4 for A internal land borders)?
 | 1. Yes, more
2. Yes, the same
3. Yes, less
4. No
 |  |
| Single choice \* | 1. Does PO2 in comparison to other POs pose any specific challenge in terms of absorption? If so which one?
 | 1. Yes
2. No
 |  |
| Multiple choice | 1. Out of the current Specific Objectives, which one(s) do you consider the most relevant?
 | 1. RSO2.1 Energy efficiency
2. RSO2.2 Renewable energy
3. RSO2.3 Smart energy systems
4. RSO2.4 Climate change adaptation
5. RSO2.5 Sustainable water
6. RSO2.6 Circular economy
7. RSO2.7 Nature protection and biodiversity
8. RSO2.8 Sustainable urban mobility
 |  |
| Multiple choice | 1. Does the current breakdown by specific objectives make sense? Do they help you tackle green related challenges?
 | * 1. Yes, they help identify/categorise/narrow the target action of projects
	2. Yes, but they should be fewer/broader
	3. Yes, but more flexibility is required to allow focus shift in implementation
	4. No, they limit the action of projects too much
	5. No, they are artificially selected/irrelevant/arbitrary
 |  |
| Single choice \* | 1. Do the common Interreg indicators sufficiently reflect the reality of green projects funded by Interreg?
 | * + - * 1. Yes
				2. No
 |  |
| Text field \* | 1. What types of intervention would you add to reflect the reality of green projects funded by Interreg?
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. Climate resilience and climate change mitigation must be considered as transversal policy or even as element of the Horizontal Principle on sustainability: What could be useful, tangible links between climate resilience and other POs supporting awareness-raising and better integration into projects?
 |  |  |
|  | 1. **Greener Europe as a transversal challenge, within Interreg and beyond**
 |
| Text field \* | 1. How could green related challenges in Interreg be tackled differently?
 |  |  |
| Single choice \* | 1. Would you consider an Interreg Specific Objective for Green, aimed more at governance and impact of solutions fostering compliance and contribution to EU Directives in the field of green agenda – could that be an interesting alternative to the current set-up with SOs?
 | * + - * 1. Yes
				2. No
 |  |
| Text field \* | 1. How could Interreg contribute practically and realistically to the wider EU green policy framework? Are the objectives of these policies sufficiently matching actual Interreg action?
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. Which policies/directives should be considered as priority?
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. How should Interreg build synergies with other EU funds for climate action and resilience?
 |  |  |
| Text field \* | 1. Any specific idea what other levers could be used to increase awareness about the needs of territories related to climate change action in the next programming period?
 |  |  |

(\*) required